

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/712,166 | 11/13/2003 | Anil D. Jha | 2003P86280US | 8211 | |
| 56885 LANDO & AN | 7590 04/05/2011 NASTASI, LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| U0105 | | PHASGE, ARUN S | | | |
| ONE MAIN S CAMBRIDGE | TREET, SUITE 1100 MA 02142 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| C.I.IIII | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | 1795 | • | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/05/2010 | FLECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ll-a.com gengelson@ll-a.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/712,166 | JHA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Arun S. Phasge | 1795 | | |

| | Arun S. Phasge | 1/95 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.158(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of the have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record. | | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| | /Arun S. Phasge/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1795 | | | | | |